

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spole.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,184	07/10/2001	Robert Craig Murphy	023895/257911	7537
79901 7590 08/05/2008 Alston & Bird LLP			EXAMINER	
Bank of America Plaza			LY, ANH	
101 South Tryo Suite 4000	on Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Charlotte, NC 28280-4000			2162	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/902 184 MURPHY ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ANH LY 2162 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2008. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29.31.36.38.43 and 45 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28, 30, 32-35, 37, 39-42, 44 and 46-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/02/2008

51 Notice of Informal Fatent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/902,184 Page 2

Art Unit: 2162

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is response to Applicants' AMENDMENT filed on 05/23/2008.

Claims 29, 31, 36, 38, 43 and 45 have been cancelled.

3. Claims 1-28, 30, 32-35, 37, 39-42, 44 and 46-47 are pending in this application.

## Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 05/23/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that, "Neither Walker nor Sehr teaches or suggests that first and second data records are compared to determined if identifier has been assigned for the customer and then assigning an identifier based on the determination that an identifier has not been assigned." (page 13, starting at the last para., and thru page 14, and ending at 2<sup>nd</sup> para., in the remarks).

Sehr teaches comparing the biometrics information comprising digital fingerprint, as a fingerprint identifier of a particular customer or passenger with the record previously stored and existing information or customer identifier – first and second data record, assigned to the particular customer (page 35, 0035, lines 1-10, page 7, 0049, page 13, 0081 and 0083; also see para. 0047, 0062, 0066 and 0079). And Walker teaches using a test procedure to determine or verify the identifier that has not assigned to the customer: figs. 16s' col. 13, lines 8-25; col. 21, lines 7-52).

Application/Control Number: 09/902,184 Page 3

Art Unit: 2162

Applicant argued that, "Neither Walker nor Sehr nor Coates teaches or suggests a unique identifier is stored a list of electronic storage facility containing customer information and associated identifying information." (page 14, the last paragraph, in the remarks).

Sehr teaches databases comprising unique identification numbers for the passenger or unique identifier for passenger (para. 0032, lines 1-15, 0041, lines 12-28 and 0057, lines 15-25). Coates teaches unique file identifiers or storage resource locators as unique identifiers for storage medium in the storage cluster system (abstract, col. 5, lines 50-54, col. 7, lines 1-16 and col. 9, lines 12-67).

For the above reasons, Examiner believed that rejection of the last Office action was proper.

Art Unit: 2162

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-14, 16-20, 22-28, 32-35, 40-42 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No.: 6,134,534 issued to Walker et al. (hereinafter Walker) in view of Pub. No. US 2002/0100802 A1 to SEHR (Provisional Application No.: 60/050,648, filed on Jun 24, 1997).

With respect to claim 1, Walker teaches a method for sharing customer information among a plurality of electronic facilities (a method for CPO management central server accessing the shared customer data storing at data storage devices: fig. 2 and col. 9, lines 60-67), comprising:

providing a master data store comprising in a first data record identifying information for a customer having an associated first customer identifier (via airline reservation system as shown in fig. 5b; see fig. 1, distributed databases (10), (20) and (30) are associated with the plurality of remote system entities that comprise the card station, travel center and service providers, respectively. The database scheme comprises database storage means for storing data and information in a distributed manner between and among those remote entities including the portable passenger card. The databases include the data records that relate to the system entities and to the passenger card contents: page, 0030, lines 1-30).

receiving identifying information on the customer from an electronic storage facility containing information about the customer including a second customer identifier that is different from the first customer identifier (the CPO (Conditional Purchase Offer) database preferably stores an indication of the total number of passengers traveling together, and sets forth the price the customer is willing to pay per ticket. Any other miscellaneous restrictions specified by the customer will be recorded, such as preferred airline(s), flights, or seat assignments. Recording the current status of the respective CPO, such as pending, accepted, rejected or expired. Finally, if the CPO ultimately results in a ticket being booked for the customer, the Passenger Name Record number (PNR) associated with the ticket is stored in the record. Generally, a PNR is a record stored by the CRS (Central Reservation System) containing information for each ticketed passenger, including: record number, passenger name(s), address for ticketing, billing information, such as credit card number, carrier(s) and flight number(s) for all

segments, seat assignments, inventory class, aircraft type, airline-issued authorization code for discounted fare, selling price, and additional comments. Thus, in such an alternate or supplemental embodiment, prior to accessing the inventory database, the CPO management system 100 will preferably query the CRS to identify possible flights which satisfy the customer's itinerary restrictions. Thereafter, the CPO management system will access the inventory database to determine if the airline has released any inventory on such identified flights to the CPO management system for sale to CPO customers. In one embodiment, the list of identified flights from the CRS can be sequenced to optimize customer preferences, and the inventory database can be searched in the order of the sequenced list of flights, until available inventory is identified. Finally, if any available inventory satisfying the customer's itinerary is identified, then the CPO management system will access the pricing database to determine if the price specified by the customer exceeds the minimum price defined by the airline, pricing database; customer database containing a plurality of customers or passenger's data record including identifying information for each individual customer stored in the customer database: see figs. 1, 4 and 6 col. 14, lines 5-18, col. 15, lines 30-47 and col. 17. lines 5-25):

storing the received identifying information in a second data record (a customer database, airline database or flight database or CPO database stored the client or customer information, identifying information of the customers or clients who registered for the cruise or airline reservation system with the same name but different ID number: see figs. 6-10s);

assigning an identifier based on a result of the determination that an identifier is not assigned to the customer (each client is assigned an identifier based on the stored database: such as customer ID or CPO ID for CPO customer and a test procedure to determine or verify the identifier that has not assigned to the customer: figs. 16s' col. 13, lines 8-25; col. 21, lines 7-52):

cross-referencing the assigned identifier with identifying information stored in the first and second data records (the CPO database identifies the customer by name (primary passenger name), and by identification number (customer ID) and identifies any companion passengers. The ID number stored in companion passengers is preferably utilized to cross-reference the corresponding information stored for the customer in the customer database (see fig. 9a, item 935, 940 and 945, col. 15, lines 12-17); and

providing identifying information using the assigned identifier on an electronic storage facility (see figs. 6-7, col. 14, lines 5-32).

Walker teaches a plurality of data storage devices storing the client or customer information for central reservation system and airlines reservation system data, each data source or database containing identifying information for each client or customer including customer ID or customer identifier from which it is used to identify a particular customer or entity associated with customer database; also customer detail is used to describe the customer identified by customer identifier. Walker also teaches ID number of customer is utilized as cross-reference the corresponding information for the stored customer in the customer database between the reservation systems. Walker does not

explicitly teach comparing the identifying information in the first and second data records to determine if an identifier is assigned to the customer as claimed.

However, SEHR teaches passenger ID number and passenger's SSN: identifying information in the records that are associated the same customer. The passenger's demographics data such as name, address, birth date, and telephone number: cardholder identification information such as a passenger ID number, SSN (Social Security Number), or check guarantee number; and an electronic representation of cardholder documents such as a driver's license, identity card, or passport. Digital Biometric characteristics information such as fingerprints, voice, signature, eve characteristics or picture/facial features of a particular passenger. Also stored are the overall terms and conditions the passenger card has to conform to when being used, data and information about the card's eligibility for a particular itinerary or a specific application/service, information about the card utilization including services rendered and travel performed via the passenger card, and the passenger's credit history including approvals or declines of card-based payment transactions or fund transfers. The stored background data elements comprise plain data that can be displayed and modified by all system users, restricted information that can be accessed or manipulated only by an authorized entity or by the cardholder, and certified data that can be retrieved and viewed but changed only by the certification center. Data and information can also be inputted into the background-field, including the instruction-window, after the passenger card is issued. For example, a cardholder can enter additional data.

such as time management information to tailor the card for a particular card utilization purpose, or a issuer of card-based documents can update the document-related data, such as a license or an immunization pass with new information (paragraphs 0052 and 0070) and comparing the biometrics information comprising digital fingerprint, as a fingerprint identifier of a particular customer or passenger with the record previously stored and existing information or customer identifier – first and second data record, assigned to the particular customer (page 35, 0035, lines 1-10, page 7, 0049, page 13, 0081 and 0083; also see para. 0047, 0062, 0066 and 0079).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Walker with the teachings of SEHR. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of determining that identifying information of the first and the second of the same customer as disclosed (SEHR's paragraph 0052), into the system of Walker for the purpose of storage and processing of data and information, identification and authentication schemes, as well as for hosting a traveler's pass, payment and a plurality of application-specific traveling services, and the convenience of using the same passenger card for transportation, identification, card-based payment means, and for other travel-related applications and services, thereby, enabling the agent/officer to verify passengers and use rights will be consolidated (SEHR's paragraphs 0008 and 0010).

With respect to claim 2, Walker teaches retrieving identifying information from the master data store based on an identifier (col. 15. lines 30-45).

With respect to claim 5, Walker teaches wherein determining comprises: standardizing the received identifying information and comparing the standardized identifying information to existing data in the master data store (col. 7, lines 65-67 and col. 8, lines 1-25).

With respect to claim 6, Walker teaches wherein cross-referencing comprises: creating a record in a table having a first and second field wherein the first field stores the assigned identifier and the second filed stores the identifying information (CPO database record having the first filed is primary passenger name and the second field is customer ID: fig 9a, item 935 and 940).

Claim 7 is essentially the same as claim 1 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 1 hereinabove.

Claim 8 is essentially the same as claim 2 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 2 hereinabove.

Claim 11 is essentially the same as claim 5 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 5 hereinabove.

Art Unit: 2162

Claim 12 is essentially the same as claim 6 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 6 hereinabove.

Claim 13 is essentially the same as claim 1 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 1 hereinabove.

Claim 14 is essentially the same as claim 2 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 2 hereinabove.

Claim 17 is essentially the same as claim 5 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 5 hereinabove.

Claim 18 is essentially the same as claim 6 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 6 hereinabove.

With respect to claim 19, Walker teaches a system for sharing customer information among a plurality of electronic storage facilities (a method for CPO management central server accessing the shared customer data storing at data storage devices: fig. 2 and col. 9, lines 60-67), comprising:

a plurality of electronic storage facilities for storing customer information associated with and identifying a customer; a master data store comprising in a first data record identifying information for the customer having an associated first customer

Art Unit: 2162

identifier; and an information system for receiving customer information from an electronic storage facility, said information system capable of: receiving identifying information on the customer from an electronic storage facility containing information on the customer including a second customer identifier that is different from the first customer identifier (see figs. 1, 4 and 6 col. 14, lines 5-18, col. 15, lines 30-47 and col. 17, lines 5-25; see fig. 1, distributed databases (10), (20) and (30) are associated with the plurality of remote system entities that comprise the card station, travel center and service providers, respectively. The database scheme comprises database storage means for storing data and information in a distributed manner between and among those remote entities including the portable passenger card. The databases include the data records that relate to the system entities and to the passenger card contents: page, 0030, lines 1-30); storing the received identifying information in a second data record: assigning an identifier for the customer based on a result of the determination that an identifier is not assigned to the customer; and providing identifying information using the assigned identifier to an electronic storage facility (via airline reservation system as shown in fig. 5b; fig. 6, customer database containing a plurality of customers or passenger's data record including identifying information for each individual customer stored in the customer database; col. 14, lines 5-18; a customer database, airline database or flight database or CPO database stored the client or customer information. identifying information of the customers or clients who registered for the cruise or airline reservation system with the same name but different ID number: see figs. 6-10s; each client is assigned an identifier based on the stored database; such as customer ID or

Art Unit: 2162

CPO ID for CPO customer and a test procedure to determine or verify the identifier that has not assigned to the customer: figs. 16s' col. 13, lines 8-25; col. 21, lines 7-52; and see figs. 6-7, col. 14, lines 5-32) and cross-referencing the identifier with identifying information stored in the first and second data records within the master data store (the CPO database identifies the customer by name (primary passenger name), and by identification number (customer ID) and identifies any companion passengers. The ID number stored in companion passengers is preferably utilized to cross-reference the corresponding information stored for the customer in the customer database (see fig. 9a, item 935, 940 and 945, col. 15, lines 12-17).

Walker teaches a plurality of data storage devices storing the client or customer information for central reservation system and airlines reservation system data, each data source or database containing identifying information for each client or customer including customer ID or customer identifier from which it is used to identify a particular customer or entity associated with customer database; also customer detail is used to describe the customer identified by customer identifier. Walker also teaches ID number of customer is utilized as cross-reference the corresponding information for the stored customer in the customer database between the reservation systems. Walker does not explicitly teach comparing the identifying information in the first and second data records to determine if an identifier is assigned to the customer as claimed.

However, SEHR teaches passenger ID number and passenger's SSN: identifying information in the records that are associated the same customer. The passenger's demographics data such as name, address, birth date, and telephone number:

cardholder identification information such as a passenger ID number, SSN (Social Security Number), or check guarantee number; and an electronic representation of cardholder documents such as a driver's license, identity card, or passport. Digital Biometric characteristics information such as fingerprints, voice, signature, eve characteristics or picture/facial features of a particular passenger. Also stored are the overall terms and conditions the passenger card has to conform to when being used, data and information about the card's eligibility for a particular itinerary or a specific application/service, information about the card utilization including services rendered and travel performed via the passenger card, and the passenger's credit history including approvals or declines of card-based payment transactions or fund transfers. The stored background data elements comprise plain data that can be displayed and modified by all system users, restricted information that can be accessed or manipulated only by an authorized entity or by the cardholder, and certified data that can be retrieved and viewed but changed only by the certification center. Data and information can also be inputted into the background-field, including the instruction-window, after the passenger card is issued. For example, a cardholder can enter additional data. such as time management information to tailor the card for a particular card utilization purpose, or a issuer of card-based documents can update the document-related data, such as a license or an immunization pass with new information (paragraphs 0052 and 0070) and comparing the biometrics information comprising digital fingerprint, as a fingerprint identifier of a particular customer or

Art Unit: 2162

passenger with the record previously stored and existing information or customer identifier – first and second data record, assigned to the particular customer (page 35, 0035, lines 1-10, page 7, 0049, page 13, 0081 and 0083; also see para. 0047, 0062, 0066 and 0079).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Walker with the teachings of SEHR. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of determining that identifying information of the first and the second of the same customer as disclosed (SEHR's paragraph 0052), into the system of Walker for the purpose of storage and processing of data and information, identification and authentication schemes, as well as for hosting a traveler's pass, payment and a plurality of application-specific traveling services, and the convenience of using the same passenger card for transportation, identification, card-based payment means, and for other travel-related applications and services, thereby, enabling the agent/officer to verify passengers and use rights will be consolidated (SEHR's paragraphs 0008 and 0010).

With respect to claim 20, Walker teaches wherein said information system is further configured to: retrieve customer information from the master data store based on the identifier (col. 15, lines 30-45).

With respect to claim 22, Walker teaches wherein said information system crossreferences the identifier with the customer information by creating a record in a table within the master data store having first and second fields, wherein the first filed stores

Art Unit: 2162

the identifier and the second filed stores the customer information (CPO database record having the first filed is primary passenger name and the second field is customer ID: fiq 9a, item 935 and 940).

Claim 23 is essentially the same as claim 19 except that it is directed to a system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 19 hereinabove.

With respect to claim 24, Walker teaches wherein when said information system receives an inquiry for information associated with a customer, said information system provides information indicating which electronic storage facilities contain information related to the customer and the identification used by each electronic facility to identify the customer's information (col. 15, lines 30-45; also col. 14, lines 5-18; and col. 22, lines 8-35 and col. 23, lines 1-8).

Claim 25 is essentially the same as claim 23 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 23 hereinabove.

Claim 26 is essentially the same as claim 24 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 24 hereinabove.

With respect to claim 27, Walker teaches wherein the first and second data records comprise identifying information identifying the customer and an indication of the electronic storage facility containing the customer information (col. 1, lines 5-18)

With respect to claim 28, Walker teaches wherein said cross-referencing comprises cross-referencing the assigned identifier with the identifying information identifying the customer and an indication of the electronic storage facility containing the customer information for the first and second data records (the CPO database identifies the customer by name (primary passenger name), and by identification number (customer ID) and identifies any companion passengers. The ID number stored in companion passengers is preferably utilized to cross-reference the corresponding information stored for the customer in the customer database (see fig. 9a, item 935, 940 and 945, col. 15, lines 12-17).

With respect to claim 32, Walker in view of SEHR teaches wherein said providing step provides a mass data store comprising a plurality of data records, wherein some of the data records are for the same customer but stored in different electronic storage facilities, where the electronic storage facilities use the same customer identifier (SEHR's paragraph's 0035, 0047 0049, 0062, 0066 and 0079).

With respect to claim 33, Walker teaches wherein the customer information is travel-related information (abstract, fig. 1 and col. 5, lines 8-35).

Claim 34 is essentially the same as claim 27 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 27 hereinabove.

Claim 35 is essentially the same as claim 28 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 28 hereinabove.

Page 18

Application/Control Number: 09/902,184
Art Unit: 2162

Claim 40 is essentially the same as claim 33 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 33 hereinabove.

Claim 41 is essentially the same as claim 27 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 27 hereinabove.

Claim 42 is essentially the same as claim 28 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 28 hereinabove.

Claim 47 is essentially the same as claim 33 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 33 hereinabove.

7. Claims 3-4, 9-10, 15-16, 21, 30, 37, 39, 44 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No.: 6,134,534 issued to Walker et al. (hereinafter Walker) in view of Pub. No. US 2002/0100802 A1 to SEHR (Provisional Application No.: 60/050,648, filed on Jun 24, 1997 and further in view of US Patent No.: 7,281,168 B1 issued to Coates et al. (hereinafter Coates).

With respect to claims 3 and 30, Walker in view of SEHR discloses a method for sharing customer information among a plurality of electronic storage facilities as discussed in claims 1 and 25.

Walker and SEHR disclose substantially the invention as claimed.

Walker and SEHR do not teach wherein identifying information includes a storage identifier to identify an electronic storage facility transmitting identifying information, a customer identifier for identifying customer information in the electronic storage facility.

However, Coates teaches the storage cluster consists of distributed object storage managers ("DOSMs") 320 and intelligent storage nodes the storage center includes a plurality of distributed object storage managers (DOSMs) and a storage cluster that includes a plurality of intelligent storage nodes. the DOSM file lookup table stores, in the file identification column, the 128 bit string, with the file designation "MD5." The second column of the DOSM file lookup table stores the IP address of the intelligent storage node that stores the object file (e.g., "10.3.100.1"). The third column, labeled disk ID, stores an integer value that identifies the specific disk drive on the intelligent storage node that stores the object file. The customer ID is a network storage

Art Unit: 2162

system identifier used to uniquely identify the client. The customer name is the real name associated with a customer. For the first example entry in the customer table, "customer A" has a customer ID of "1." The customer reserved fields provide storage reserved for use by the client. The customer ID, the same customer ID stored in the customer table, uniquely identifies the client. For the example entries in folder table, the customer ID of "3" identifies that the folders have been assigned to "customer C." The folder ID identifies the specific folder for that entry: abstract, and figs. 6, 7 and 12, col. 7, lines 1-25, col. 11, lines 12-54 and col. 15, lines 15-57). Thus, identifying information includes: Disk ID or Folder ID is storage identifier, customer ID is for identifying customer information and also, metadata is information/data related to identifying customer. Also, a list of storage resource locators (SRL) for a unique identifier of file storages in the storage cluster: abstract, col. 5, lines 50-54, col. 7, lines 1-16 and col. 9, lines 12-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Walker in view of SEHR with the teachings of Coates by incorporating the use of identifying information containing customer ID, Disk ID or folder ID and metadata data as disclosed (fig. 12), into the system of Walker for the purpose of enabling the systems to locate and access directly these types of storages (Coates's col. 2, lines 12-25).

With respect to claim 4, Walker teaches wherein customer data includes a customer's name and address (col. 14, lines 10-16).

Art Unit: 2162

Claim 9 is essentially the same as claim 3 except that it is directed to a computer rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 3 hereinabove.

Claim 10 is essentially the same as claim 4 except that it is directed to a computer rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 4 hereinabove.

Claim 15 is essentially the same as claim 3 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 3 hereinabove.

Claim 16 is essentially the same as claim 4 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 4 hereinabove.

Claim 21 is essentially the same as claim 3 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 3 hereinabove.

With respect to claim 37, Walker in view of SEHR and Coates teaches wherein identifying information includes a storage identifier to identify an electronic storage facility comprising the customer information and a customer identifier for identifying customer information in the electronic storage facility (Coates' figs. 6, 7 and 12, col. 7, lines 1-25, col. 11, lines 12-54 and col. 15, lines 15-57).

With respect to claim 39, Walker in view of SEHR teaches, wherein said mass data store comprises a plurality of data records, wherein some of the data records are

for the same customer but stored in different electronic storage facilities, where the electronic storage facilities use the same customer identifier (SEHR's paragraph's 0035, 0047 0049, 0062, 0066 and 0079).

Claim 44 is essentially the same as claim 37 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a computer, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 37 hereinabove.

Claim 46 is essentially the same as claim 39 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a computer, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 39 hereinabove.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,184 Page 23

Art Unit: 2162

#### Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,184 Page 24

Art Unit: 2162

#### Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANH LY whose telephone number is (571) 272-4039 or via E-Mail: ANH.LY@USPTO.GOV (Written Authorization being given by Applicant (MPEP 502.03 [R-2])) or fax to (571) 273-4039 (unofficial fax number directly to examiner's office). The examiner can normally be reached on TUESDAY – THURSDAY from 8:30 AM – 3:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene, can be reached on (571) 272-4107 or Primary Examiner. Jean Fleurantin. can be reached on (571) 272-4035.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, or faxed to: Central Fax Center: (571) 273-8300.

ANHIY /AI/

/JEAN B. FLEURANTIN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2162